#### Adaptation and the Law



IPAC
October 28, 2008
Dianne Saxe, Ph.D.



#### Overview

- Legal Options:
  - Statute
  - Standards
  - Contract
  - Tort
- Tort Law: Negligence
  - Duty of care
- Duty to adapt?

# Legal Options

- Statute
  - Regulations/ statutory instruments
  - Tax
- Standards
- Contract
- Tort

#### Who do you want to decide?

- Governments
- Standards bodies
- Contracting parties
- Judges

## Statutes might

- Exclude liability (statutory immunity)
- Limit liability (caps)
- Allocate liability
- Require adaptation
- Require / create insurance
- EA/ permits

## Standards might

- Improve risk/ harm predictions
- Improve vulnerability assessment
- Revise infrastructure / building specifications
- Increase local/ distributed generation

#### Contracts might

- Allocate / exclude liability (eg landlord/ tenant; APS)
- Assign responsibility (eg erosion protection)
- Provide for:
  - Insurance
  - Mutual aid

# Tort Law (judges) might find

- Negligent failures to adapt:
  - Duty of care
  - Breach (standard of care / due diligence)
  - Causation
  - Injury / Damage

## Basic Duty of Care

- To avoid causing unreasonable harm
- To my neighbour

## Who is my Neighbour?

- *Donoghue v. Stevenson*, [1932] A.C. 562
- Kamloops v. Neilson, [1984] 2 S.C.R. 2
- Governments have a lot of neighbours...

#### What's unreasonable harm?

- Likelihood
- Severity

#### What's unreasonable?

- Statute
- Contract
- Precedent
- Analogy

## Statutes, e.g.

- *CEPA*, 1999
- Fisheries Act
  - prevent spills, HADD
- Provincial laws on:
  - Water quality / quantity
  - Storm drainage
  - Occupational health and safety
  - Drinking water
  - Health

# So, who is my neighbour?

- Power to prevent
- Relationship
  - Expertise
  - Reliance
- Foreseeability
  - Notice

#### Power to prevent

- Scarboro (Scarborough) Golf & Country Club Ltd. v. Scarborough (City) (1988), 66
   O.R. (2d) 257 (Ont. C.A.)
- Johnson v. Milton (Town), 2008 ONCA 440 (Ont. C.A.)

# Relationship

- Gov't has lots of neighbours
  - Expertise
  - Reliance

#### Foreseeability

- Overseas Tankship (U.K.) Ltd. v. Mort's Dock - The Wagon Mound (No. 1), [1961]
   A.C. 388 (P.C.)
- Assiniboine South School Division No. 3 v.
   Greater Winnipeg Gas Co., [1971] 4
   W.W.R. 746 (Man. C.A.)

#### Foreseeable Climate Impacts

- Fiercer Storms
  - Inadequate infrastructure (water, energy, transportation)
  - Floods / droughts
  - Erosion
  - Wind
- Heat (heat stress, smog)
  - Fires
- Water resources (supply and quality)
- Species change, protection and management
- Disease vectors

#### Lots of potential claims

■ Who will they sue?

#### Limits on duties of care

- Statutory protection
  - Statutory authority / immunity
- Gov't: Policy / Operational
- Proximity/ Remoteness

## Statutory authority

- Protects when adverse impact is necessary and *inevitable* consequence of providing public service, e.g. airplane noise at airport
- Rarely helpful

## Statutory immunity: Alberta

Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. -26, s. 528

For operation or non-operation of public utility (includes water, sewer systems), there is

- no proceeding for nuisance
- no action based on any other tort that does *not* require a finding of intention or negligence

## Gov't: Policy/ Operational?

- Policy political nature; accountable to electorate or legislature, not the courts
  - Friends of Earth v. Minister of the Environment (KPIA), 2008 FC 1183 (F.C. Oct 20, 2008)
- No duty to regulate
  - Holland v. British Columbia, 2008 CarswellBC 1523 (B.C. S.C.)
- Duty of care if operational- the practical execution of decisions/ activities
  - Kamloops v. Nielsen, [1984] 2 S.C.R. 2
  - Just v. British Columbia, [1989] 2 S.C.R. 1228

#### Proximity/ Remoteness

- *Cooper v. Hobart*, [2001] 3 S.C.R. 537
- Edwards v. Law Society, [2001] S.C.J. No. 77
- Eliopoulos v. Ontario, [2006] O.J. No. 4400 (Ont. C.A.)
- Mustapha v. Culligan, 2008 SCC 27

# Negligence, a reminder

- Duty of care is only the first of four elements:
  - Duty
  - Breach
  - Causation
  - Injury
- And there are other torts

#### Overview

- Legal Options:
  - Statute
  - Contract
  - Tort
- Tort Law: Negligence
  - Duty of care
- Duty to adapt?

## Duty to Adapt?

- Types of damage
  - Safety? Health?
  - Property damage?
  - Economic loss?

# So, who is my neighbour?

- Power to prevent
- Relationship
  - Expertise
  - Reliance
- Foreseeability
  - Notice

## Duty to adapt?

- Employment
- Physical assets
- Permitting
- Inadequate standards
- Negligent misrepresentation

## Employment

- Duty to provide safe workplace, OSHA
  - Wind
  - Heat
  - Flooding
  - Power supply

#### Physical assets

- Inadequate for foreseeable climate change?
  - Owned/ occupied land / buildings
  - Trees
  - Infrastructure
    - Sewage pipes
    - Stormwater systems
    - Roads
    - Tunnels/ bridges
- R. v. Ottawa Carleton (October, 2008)
- Johnson v. Milton

## Permitting

- Building permits
  - Ingles v. Tutkaluk [2000] 1 S.C.R. 298
  - Heighington v. Ontario (1989), 69 O.R. (2d)484 (Ont. C.A.)

#### Inadequate standards

- Berendsen v. Ontario (2008), 34 C.E.L.R. (3d)223 (Ont. S.C.J.)
- Lafarge v. Ontario, 2008 CanLII 30290 (Ont. S.C.J. Div)
- Dicaire v. Chambly, 2000 CarswellQue 361(Que. CA)

# Negligent Misrepresentation

- Hedley Byrne & Co. v. Heller & Partners,
   [1964] A.C. 465 (H.L.)
- "Are we at danger of \_\_\_\_\_ here?"

#### Overview

- Legal Options:
  - Statute
  - Standards
  - Contract
  - Tort
- Tort Law: Negligence
  - Duty of care
- Duty to adapt?

#### Thank you!!

#### Saxe Law Office

248 Russell Hill Road Toronto, Ontario M4V 2T2

Tel: 416-962-5882

Fax: 416-962-8817

Email: admin@envirolaw.com

www.envirolaw.com

