

COUR SUPÉRIEURE

(Action collective)

CANADA
PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC
DISTRICT DE MONTRÉAL

N° : 500-06-000864-179

DATE : 5 janvier 2018

SOUS LA PRÉSIDENCE DE : L'HONORABLE PIERRE-C. GAGNON, J.C.S.

JACQUELINE DESCHÈNES

Demanderesse

C.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON INC.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON MEDICAL COMPANIES

JOHNSON & JOHNSON

JOHNSON & JOHNSON INTERNATIONAL

ETHICON INC.

Défenderesses

JUGEMENT SUR UNE DEMANDE DE SUSPENSION

[1] Toutes les parties, en demande comme en défense, s'accordent pour demander la suspension des procédures dans ce dossier (« l'Action collective québécoise ») où la décision d'accorder ou de refuser l'autorisation n'a pas encore été prise.

[2] Les parties demandent de donner préséance à l' « Action collective ontarienne » dans le dossier suivant :

Colleen Lana Copland et Riley Jason Copland c. Johnson & Johnson Inc., Johnson & Johnson Medical Companies, Johnson & Johnson, Johnson & Johnson International et Ethicon Inc., dossier n° 65/17 de la Cour supérieure de justice de l'Ontario

[3] L'Action collective ontarienne a été instituée avant l'Action collective québécoise.

[4] Il existe aussi une action collective parallèle en Colombie-Britannique¹, mais dont le déroulement ne paraît pas encore s'être amorcé. Il en est fait abstraction pour les fins de la présente décision.

[5] Les parties font valoir que l'Action collective ontarienne a été transférée de Guelph, Ontario à Toronto, Ontario où elle a été prise en charge par l'honorable juge Paul M. Perell.

[6] Les parties invoquent litispendance internationale et l'opportunité de donner préséance à l'Action collective ontarienne, qui porte essentiellement sur le même litige (préjudice résultant de l'implantation de mailles chirurgicales Physiomesh pour réparer certaines hernies).

[7] À ce sujet, le juge soussigné a écrit au juge Perell le 8 octobre 2017². Le juge Perell a répondu le 30 novembre 2017³.

[8] Le Tribunal considère que la suspension temporaire de l'Action collective québécoise ne porte pas atteinte à la protection des droits et des intérêts des résidants du Québec.

[9] Le Tribunal accorde la suspension, mais avec des modalités assurant une supervision adéquate des développements à venir.

POUR CES MOTIFS, LE TRIBUNAL :

[10] **ACCUEILLE** la demande de suspension;

[11] **SUSPEND** la demande d'autorisation d'instituer une action collective durant une période expirant 60 jours après la date du jugement sur la certification dans l' « Action collective ontarienne » identifiée ci-haut;

[12] **DÉCLARE** que le tribunal réserve sa compétence et sa discrétion d'ordonner la reprise du déroulement de l'instance à tout moment que le tribunal jugera à propos;

[10] **GRANTS** the Motion to stay the Application;

[11] **STAYS** the Application for authorization to institute a Class action for a period ending 60 days after the date of the judgment on certification in the above-described "Ontario Class Action";

[12] **DECLARES** that this Court retains its jurisdiction and discretion to order the present matter to recommence and proceed at any such time that this Court sees fit;

¹ Cour suprême de la Colombie-Britannique, n° VLC-S-S-175217.

² Annexe 1 du présent jugement.

³ Annexe 2 du présent jugement.

[13] **DÉCLARE** que chaque partie peut, à sa discrétion, demander au tribunal d'ordonner la reprise de l'instance;

[14] **ORDONNE** aux parties d'informer diligemment le tribunal de tous développements dans l' « Action collective ontarienne », et ce, à intervalles d'au plus six mois;

[15] **SANS FRAIS DE JUSTICE.**

[13] **DECLARIES** that any party may, at its discretion, apply to the Court for the present matter to recommence;

[14] **ORDERS** the parties to keep this Court diligently informed of all developments in the "Ontario Class Action", and at least every six months;

[15] **WITHOUT COSTS.**



PIERRE-C. GAGNON, j.c.s.

Me Karim Diallo
SISKINDS, DESMEULES AVOCATS
Avocats pour la demanderesse

Me Robert J. Torralbo
BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON
Avocats pour les défenderesses

ANNEXE 1

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE PIERRE-C. GAGNON
SUPERIOR COURT OF QUÉBEC

Court House, 1, Notre-Dame Street East, Montréal (Québec) H2Y 1B6
Phone 514 393-2019 Fax 514 228-4497
pierre-c.gagnon@judex qc ca

Montreal, October 6, 2017

The Honourable Mr. Justice Paul M. Perell

Superior Court of Justice
361 University Avenue
Toronto, Ontario, M5G 1T3

RE : **Colleen Lana Copland and Riley Jason Copland v. Johnson & Johnson Inc. & al.**
Court file N° : 65/17 (Guelph) ("Ontario Action")

Jacqueline Deschênes v. Johnson & Johnson Inc. & al.
S.C. Montréal N° 500-06-000864-179 ("Québec Action")

Dear Colleague :

I am writing in my capacity of coordinating judge for class actions in Montréal.

I am informed that you are about to be seized of an application for certification in the matter of Copland v. Johnson & Johnson Inc. & al. (the "Ontario Action") identified above, as soon as the case is transferred from Guelph to Toronto (by Mr. Justice Gray, I am told).

I am seized of a joint application to temporarily stay the class action in the matter of Deschênes v. Johnson & Johnson Inc. & al. (the "Québec Action"), also identified above. Please find attached a copy of the joint application. I have not found it necessary to delegate the case to another colleague at this early stage.

Before ruling on the joint application, I need to take into consideration Article 577 of the Québec Code of Civil Procedure (its second paragraph, in particular) :

577. The court cannot refuse to authorize a class action on the sole grounds that the class members are part of a multi-jurisdictional class action already under way outside Québec.

If asked to decline jurisdiction, to stay an application for authorization to institute a class action or to stay a class action, the court is required to have regard for the protection of the rights and interests of Québec residents.

If a multi-jurisdictional class action has been instituted outside Québec, the court, in order to protect the rights and interests of class members resident in Québec, may disallow the discontinuance of an application for authorization, or authorize another plaintiff or representative plaintiff to institute a class action involving the same subject matter and the same class if it is convinced that the class members' interests would thus be better served.

It may very well be that the judgments to be delivered in Ontario will adequately protect the rights and interests of Québec residents.

But, if only to mention some potential issues :

- The "Ontario Action" may fail to get certified, leaving my Court to decide whether the "Québec Action" is to be authorized (as the criteria are different);
- The "Ontario Action" may be certified for a class that leaves out the residents of Québec;
- Both official languages must be taken into account for public notices and the claims process.

Therefore, in my respectful view, my Court cannot relinquish jurisdiction altogether in the "Québec Action". I propose to grant the temporary stay, with conditions such as :

- Requesting counsel for Applicant to report periodically on the progress in the "Ontario Action";
- Filing diligently in the Québec file any judgment or ordinance that you issue in the "Ontario Action".

If you see fit, I will gladly send you copy of my judgments and ordinances in the "Québec Action".

I trust that you will agree with me that coordination among judges will increase efficiency and avoid some duplication of efforts.

I look forward to exchanging with you further in this joint matter, and others.

Yours very truly,



Pierre-C. Gagnon, j.s.c.

PCG/cb

Enclosure

Cc. Mtre Robert Torralbo, *BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON*, Montréal
Mtre Karim Diallo, *SISKINDS DESMEULLES*, Québec City

ANNEXE 2

THE HONOURABLE
MR. JUSTICE PAUL PERELL
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

COURT HOUSE
361 UNIVERSITY AVENUE
TORONTO, ONTARIO M5G 1T3
Tel. (416) 327-5284
Fax (416) 327-5417



L'HONORABLE
JUGE PAUL PERELL
COUR SUPÉRIEURE DE JUSTICE

PALAIS DE JUSTICE
361, AVENUE UNIVERSITY
TORONTO (ONTARIO) M5G 1T3
Tél: (416) 327-5284
Téléc. (416) 327-5417

November 30, 2017

The Honourable Mr. Justice Pierre-C. Gagnon
Superior Court of Quebec
Court House
1 Notre-Dame Street East
Montreal, Quebec
H2Y 1B6

Dear Colleague:

Re: *Copland v. Johnson & Johnson Inc. et al. (Ontario Action)*
Deschenes v. Johnson & Johnson Inc. et al. (Quebec Action)

Thank you for your letter of October 6, 2017.

Without any excuse, I apologize for my delay in responding.

I agree with your letter and look forward to collaborating with you.

Yours truly,

flc. The Hon. Mr. Justice Paul M. Perell

PMP/ce